The research presented in this policy brief was conducted by Sue Cleary and Di McIntyre.

The authors are based at the Health Economics Unit - University of Cape Town in South Africa - part of the Consortium for Research on Equitable Health Systems (CREHS) and funded by the Department for International Development (DFID) UK.

This policy brief is based on a research report “Financing equitable access to ART in South Africa”.

For more information about this publication please contact Sue Cleary, email: susan.cleary@uct.ac.za

INTRODUCTION

Achieving the health Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) including the scaling up of HIV-treatment requires increased domestic resources, better coordinated funding flows as well as an overall focus on health systems’ strengthening (Taskforce on Innovative International Financing for Health Systems, 2009).

In South Africa, the respectable 7.3% of GDP spent on health care masks a highly unequal distribution of resources between the public and private health systems. Approximately 3% of GDP is spent within the public sector where the majority poor access care. The remainder is spent in the private sector where the minority rich - about 15% of the population - access health care primarily via voluntary health insurance. It is this former highly constrained public system that is faced with the majority of the burden of responding to the HIV-epidemic.

Given the inadequate public health sector resourcing, plans are underway to implement a National Health Insurance (NHI) system. The broad vision is to focus efforts on rebuilding the public health sector to the point that it once again becomes the provider of choice for the majority.

KEY FINDINGS

ART RESOURCE NEEDS AS A PROPORTION OF THE CURRENT PUBLIC HEALTH SYSTEM

- If equitable access to ART is attained but public health system funding does not grow in real terms, it is inevitable that ART will crowd out other services.
- By 2015, 30% of the 2007 public health sector resources would be required for ART, increasing to 42% by 2020.
- On average, 28% of resources would be required for ART from 2010 to 2020.
- By 2020, resource needs would exceed 40% of those currently available, suggesting serious concerns regarding affordability and sustainability.

Annual ART costs 2010-2020 as a proportion of baseline 2007 public health spending
ART RESOURCE NEEDS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE NHI

- The NHI scenario, including ART costs, modeled in the research would require total system expenditure to more than double in real terms from 2010 to 2020.
- Increases are phased in rapidly initially, with 17% higher real expenditure in 2011 than in 2010.
- The rate of increase will fall over the period, to reach around 6% by 2014 and 4% by 2019. ART expenditure is 12.5% of total expenditure over the period, ranging from nearly 9% in 2010 to slightly more than 14% in 2020.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Sustaining access to ART at current resource levels

- Unless additional resources are available in the public health system, great caution should be exercised before changing the current ART guidelines to include more effective forms of care that are more costly. Examples include starting ART earlier (e.g. CD4>200 cells/ml) or including more expensive drugs.
- Because these interventions are not more cost-effective (Badri, Cleary et al. 2006), their introduction will have implications for equitable access.

Providing access to ART in the context of an NHI

- The proportion of total expenditure on ART would be a maximum of just over 14% in 2020 and an average of 12.5% over the period.
- This seems a far more manageable challenge than providing ART in the absence of NHI.

Challenges in implementing NHI

- The extent to which the allocation from general tax revenue to the health sector can continue to grow without increasing income tax rates is dependent on sustained, strong, economic growth.
- One potential source of increasing tax revenue is the proposed removal of tax deductibility of medical scheme contributions, the value of which was estimated to be almost US$ 2 billion in 2007 (McIntyre, McLeod et al. 2005).
- There will be a need to carefully phase in any changes, to ensure that the absorptive capacity of the health system is not exceeded.
- International experience demonstrates that the policy development and implementation process will require careful management (Castiglioni 2001; Thomas and Gilson 2004; Kwon and Reich 2005).
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